

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

No. TN/NMK/LST-4.MDS Mine Code: 38TMN28017

C-4-A, Rajaji Bhavan
CGO complex, Besant Nagar
Chennai – 600 090.

Dated: 5.9.2017

To:
Sri. S. Pappu, Managing Partner,
M/s Mineral Enterprises.
2/124-1,Ayyagoungampalayam,
Pallakapalayam post,
Tiruchengode taluk,
Namakkal district-637 303.

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules,2017, in respect of your Padaveedu Limestone mine over 0.76.5 hect in Padaiveedu village Tiruchengodu taluk , Namakkal district of Tamilnadu State.

Sir,

The following provisions of the MCDR, 2017 was found violated in your above mine during the MCDR inspection by Sri A.S.Sanjeeva Rao, Asst., Mining Geologist on Geologist on 31.07.2017 in presence of Sri Shenburaj, your representative .

Rule No	Nature of Violation observed
11(2)	<p><u>Mining operations under mining lease</u> :If the mining operations are not carried out in accordance with the approved or certified mining plan or any information contained in the mining plan is found to be incorrect, misleading or non-compliant with applicable laws including these rules, the competent authority may by order, suspend all or any of the mining operations and permit continuance of only such operations as are required to restore the conditions in the mine as envisaged under the approved or certified mining plan or modified mining plan, for the purpose of restoration to the extent possible.</p> <p>The proposals made in the approved Modified mining dated.10.6.2016 under the para no.1.0(I) ,the Exploration proposed 04 no of core drill holes as per table no.10 for the previous year 2016-17.But, the exploration proposed has not been carried out by you.</p>
26(2)	<p><u>Submission of yearly report</u>: The holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent authority authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1 st day of July every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan,and if there is any deviation ,reasons thereof.</p> <p>But, the yearly report as required under rule has not been submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines.</p>
27(2)	<p><u>Financial assurance</u>: Where financial assurance is required to be furnished by the holder of the mining lease under sub-rule (1), such amount of financial assurance shall be submitted to the authorised officer, as the case may be, in the form of a bank guarantee in the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines:</p> <p>Provided that where financial assurance has already been furnished before the commencement of these rules, an amount equal to the difference between the financial assurance due as on the date of notification of these rules and the financial assurance already furnished, shall be furnished to the authorised officer, as the case may be, within a period of ninety days from the date of notification of these rules i.e. 27.2.2017.:</p> <p>The difference amount of Rs.4,85,000/- in the form of bank guarantee has not been submitted to this office till date.</p>

02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017.

03. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (forty five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

(Salil Sandip Kujur)
Deputy Controller of Mines
for Regional Controller of Mines

Copy forwarded for information to :

1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore.
2. The Commissioner, Department of Geology & Mining, Chennai.

(Salil Sandip Kujur)
Deputy Controller of Mines
for Regional Controller of Mines